

Compositional Grading and Reservoir Oil Composition Variation with Depth Experiment by PVTi Software with Lab Data

Mehrdad Alemi, Hossein Jalalifar



Abstract: Heavier molecules tend to migrate towards the bottom of column. Gross assumptions in PVT experiment are that the system is in gravitational and diffusive equilibrium. Starting from an oil and moving up a column, the fluid will become lighter and tend towards a gas (condensate). Two types of grading are possible. The first one is of the fluid going from an oil to a gas with a distinct gas-oil contact at some unique depth. If this situation occurs, it will be predicted. The second one is the Fluid grading from an oil to a gas without a contact. This case corresponds to the critical temperature of a composition within the reservoir equalling the reservoir temperature. In compliance with compositional grading study, the API and some properties of the reservoir oil composition such as sulphur and CO2 contents vary with the reservoir depth. Most of the time the gas-oil contact (GOC) is named as the reservoir datum depth. In this paper, the study of compositional grading and reservoir oil composition variation with depth experiment by PVTi software with lab data has been achieved and some good conclusions have been gained.

Keywords: Compositional Grading, PVTi Software and Lab Data, Gas-Oil Contact (GOC), Datum Depth.

I. INTRODUCTION

The compositional grading is a phenomenon that could be seen in many of the world hydrocarbon reservoirs. This phenomenon points to the molar variations of oil and gas components in reservoirs in the vertical direction with depth and in some cases in the horizontal direction. Compositional Grading in oil and gas reservoirs proposes examples, and case studies on how to respond the problems of a compositional gradient subject. Once to aid engineers with stronger production curves, reserve assessment, and design of future development strategies, the meticulous study of this phenomenon is compulsory. Multiple case studies are available to show the application of the theory from very simple to more complicated systems, such as actual reservoirs influenced by thermal diffusion and gravity once at a time.

Manuscript received on 25 November 2023 | Revised Manuscript received on 26 October 2023 | Manuscript Accepted on 15 November 2023 | Manuscript published on 30 November 2023.

* Correspondence Author (s)

Dr. Mehrdad Alem<u>i</u>*, Department of Petroleum & Mining Engineering, Shahid Bahonar University of Kerman-Iran. E-mail: <u>jalalyfar@yahoo.com</u>, ORCID ID: <u>0000-0003-2423-0196</u>

Hossein Jalalifar, Department of Petroleum & Mining Engineering, Shahid Bahonar University of Kerman-Iran. E-mail: mehrdad_alemi2000@yahoo.com

© The Authors. Published by Lattice Science Publication (LSP). This is an <u>open access</u> article under the CC-BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/)

An example includes a layer where asphaltene deposition happened in the reservoir and three phase flash algorithms for liquid-liquid-vapor equilibrium calculations, detailing the strategies required to ensure convergence. COMPG reservoir output results show a deeper level of detail on the heterogeneity composition and thermo-physical properties of petroleum fluids in the reservoir, methods on how to add the reliability of reservoir simulation, the sense of compositional grading, with coverage on both theory and application. Knowing the primary state of an oil reservoir is critical in order to optimize its development plan. This knowledge depends on a proper description of the spatial distribution of the fluid components. The compositional variations are pivotally because of gravitational segregation thermo-diffusion phenomena. Usually, an and apt assessment of the steady state spatial distribution of the components is gained by thermodynamic modeling on the basis of an EOS. In reservoir simulation, the fluid composition is usually assumed uniform for the entire of reservoir, in the condition that in many reservoirs, oil and gas composition changes with depth. This phenomenon which is known as compositional grading could be very important in heavy oil reservoirs. In these reservoirs, biodegradation and asphaltene precipitation are considered as the main reasons behind this phenomenon. Compositional grading in heavy oil reservoirs could affect fluid viscosity. The reservoir fluid data are gained from both the surface and subsurface samples and the PVT tests and are mainly reported for the entire of reservoir. In many reservoirs, the oil and gas composition changes with depth. Ignoring compositional grading or its wrong anticipation brings about a bad estimation of initial hydrocarbon values and wrong oil recovery predictions in reservoirs. As mentioned, the asphaltene deposition leads to huge variations in oil viscosity. Biodegradation is another factor in the formation of the compositional grading in heavy oil reservoirs. For example, this factor leads to an intensive compositional grading in the Peace River and Athabasca reservoirs in Canada. Another example is the Grosmont reservoir and largest fractured carbonate bitumen reservoir in the world, located in the Alberta state of Canada. Oil viscosity gradient and different API gravity values (5-9) resulted from biodegradation in this reservoir. In this paper, the study of compositional grading and reservoir oil composition variation with depth experiment by PVTi software with lab data has been achieved and some good conclusions have been gained. [Alizadeh, N.2015] [1]

Published By: Lattice Science Publication (LSP) © Copyright: All rights reserved.



Retrieval Number: 100.1/ijpe.B1916113223 DOI:10.54105/ijpe.B1916.113223 Journal Website: www.ijpe.latticescipub.com

Compositional Grading and Reservoir oil Composition Variation with Depth Experiment by PVTi Software with Lab Data

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The main factors that cause composition variations with depth are as below:

- In the case of the migration of hydrocarbons is not yet ended, time is required for the diffusion and displacement of hydrocarbons.
- Biodegradation in vertical and horizontal directions brings about variations in oil viscosity and API gravity.
- Gravity force segregates the heavier components toward lower parts of reservoir and lighter components toward the upper parts.
- Thermal diffusion drives lighter components toward the lower parts of reservoir in which temperature is higher and heavier components toward the upper parts and lower temperatures.
- Asphaltene deposition in the lower parts of the reservoir during migration causes the different oil types in the pay zones.

Gibbs free energy of a component can be related to a change in the log of that component fugacity:

$$dG_i = RTd(\ln f_i)$$
 (*i*=1,2,..., N_c) Eq.1

The change in Gibbs energy can also be related to mole weights.

$$dG_i = M_i g dh$$
 (*i* = 1,2,..., N_c) Eq.2

Where g is the gravitational constant. dh is the change in height from a specified reference which will subsequently be denoted with the superscript 0. Integrating gives:

$$\ln f_i = \ln f_i^o + \frac{M_i g}{RT} (h - h^o)$$
Eq.3









In a miscible WAG process by PVTi software, the following results have been gained:



Published By:



- 1	-			-	
Row Components	ZI	Weight fraction	Mol Weight	Spec Gravity	
1 CO2	0.02	0.012205			-
2 C1	0.4	0.088981			
3 C2	0.06	0.025017			
4 C3	0.08	0.048916			
5 IC4	0.03	0.024178			
6 NC4	0.04	0.032238			
7 IC5	0.03	0.030013			
8 NC5	0.04	0.040018			
9 C6	0.05	0.058237			
10 C7+	0.25	0.6402	184.68	0.82418	
11					
12					┨.
	- ·		•		
] Enter weight frac	ions	variu I C	anaol (Holp	
Figure: 3	A reservoi	r oil sample compo	osition[Schl	umberger]	
PVITONICI	Jerinition	5			
nit Type > Metric	Fie	id 🔷 La	аЬ	PVT Me	tric
emperature L > Kelvin	Init Type	sius 🔿 Ra	nkine 🍕	 Fahrenhei 	t
Iole Fraction	or Percent > Pe	age ircentage			
Absolute or Ga	iuge Press	ure e			
10		Cancel		Help	





Figure: 5. The reservoir oil sample Phase plot



Published By:

Compositional Grading and Reservoir oil Composition Variation with Depth Experiment by PVTi Software with Lab Data

Expt BUBBLE1 : Bubble Point Pressure Calculation				
Peng-Robinson (3-Parm) on ZI with PR corr. Lohrenz-Bray-Clark Viscosity Correlation				
Specified temperatureDeg F200.0000Calculated bubble point pressure PSIA2434.3111				
	Liquid	Vapour	I	
Fluid properties	Calculated	Calculated	I	
Mole Weight Z-factor Viscosity Density LB/FT3 Molar Vol CF/LB- 	72.1186 0.6841 0.1366 36.2502 ML 1.9895	22.9956 0.8297 0.0197 9.5301 2.4130		
Molar Distributio	ns Total, Z	Liquid,X	Vapour,Y	K-Values
Components Mnemonic Number	Measured	Calculated	Calculated	Calculated
CO2 1 C1 2 C2 3 IC4 5 IC4 5 IC5 7 NC5 8 C6 9 C7+ 10	0.0200 0.4000 0.0600 0.0800 0.0300 0.0400 0.0300 0.0400 0.0500 0.2500	0.0200 0.4000 0.0600 0.0300 0.0400 0.0400 0.0400 0.0400 0.0400 0.0500 0.2500	0.0265 0.7914 0.0614 0.0147 0.0171 0.0096 0.0116 0.0097 0.0038	1.3267 1.9785 1.0240 0.6754 0.4907 0.4281 0.3193 0.2909 0.1948 0.0152
Composition Total	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	

Figure: 6. The reservoir oil sample bubble point calculations equal to 2434.3111 psia

Expt COMPG1 : Compositional Grading Experiment				
Peng-Robinson (3-Parm) on ZI with PR corr. Lohrenz-Bray-Clark Viscosity Correlation				
Reference temperature Reference pressure Reference depth		Deg F PSIA FEET	200.01 5000.01 4000.1	000 000 000
Viscosity units are Pressure units are Specific volume units are Density units are		CPOISE PSIA CF/LB-ML LB/FT3		
Temperature Gradient Active of		deg F∕ft	0.2	000
	Pressure	Psat	Mole Weight	Density
Depths Inserted FEET Point	Calculated	Calculated	Calculated	Calculated
4000.000 - Dref	5000.0000	2434.3111	72.1186	38.6135
Depths Inserted FEET Point	Viscosity	Z-Factor	Specific Vol	
	Calculated	Calculated	Calculated	
4000.000 - Dref	0.1915	1.3192	1.8677	
Molar Distributions	Com(1 ,CO2)	Com(2,C1)	Com(3,C2)	Com(4,C3)
Fluid, Z Inserted Point	Calculated	Calculated	Calculated	Calculated
4000.000 - Dref	0.0200	0.4000	0.0600	0.0800
Molar Distributions Fluid, Z Inserted Point	Com(5 ,IC4)	Com(6,NC4)	Com(7 ,IC5)	Com(8,NC5)
	Calculated	Calculated	Calculated	Calculated
····				

Figure: 7. The reservoir oil sample Compositional Grading experiment, bubble point =Psat calculations equal to 2434.3111 psia



Retrieval Number: 100.1/ijpe.B1916113223 DOI:10.54105/ijpe.B1916.113223 Journal Website: www.ijpe.latticescipub.com



Note:

In the above table, we conclude in each depth (from top to the reservoir bottom), the density and viscosity and composition of oil varies (increases) that is called compositional grading.

In above calculations and curves, the discussion and results for Compositional Grading experiment have been shown well. The main factors that cause composition variations with depth are as below:

- In the case of the migration of hydrocarbons is not yet ended, time is required for the diffusion and displacement of hydrocarbons.
- Biodegradation in vertical and horizontal directions brings about variations in oil viscosity and API gravity.
- Gravity force segregates the heavier components toward lower parts of reservoir and lighter components toward the upper parts.
- Thermal diffusion drives lighter components toward the lower parts of reservoir in which temperature is higher and heavier components toward the upper parts and lower temperatures.
- Asphaltene deposition in the lower parts of the reservoir during migration causes the different oil types in the pay zones.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

- 1. In this paper, the study of compositional grading and reservoir oil composition variation with depth experiment by PVTi software with lab data has been achieved and some good conclusions have been gained.
- 2. In compliance with compositional grading study, the API and some properties of the reservoir oil composition such as sulphur and CO2 contents vary with the reservoir depth. Most of the time the gas-oil contact (GOC) is named as the reservoir datum depth.
- 3. Given enough time, heavier molecules tend to migrate towards the bottom of column. Gross assumptions in PVT experiment are that the system is in gravitational and diffusive equilibrium. There is no thermal gradient in the column.
- 4. Two types of grading are possible. The first one is of the fluid going from an oil to a gas with a distinct gasoil contact at some unique depth. If this situation occurs, it will be predicted by PVTi. The second one is the Fluid grading from an oil to a gas without a contact. This case corresponds to the critical temperature of a composition within the reservoir equalling the reservoir temperature. If this process occurs, it too will be predicted by PVTi.
- 5. The compositional grading is a phenomenon that could be seen in many of the world hydrocarbon reservoirs. This phenomenon points to the molar variations of oil and gas components in reservoirs in the vertical direction with depth and in some cases in the horizontal direction.
- 6. COMPG reservoir output results show a deeper level of detail on the heterogeneity composition and thermophysical properties of petroleum fluids in the reservoir, methods on how to add the reliability of reservoir simulation, the sense of compositional grading, with

coverage on both theory and application. Knowing the primary state of an oil reservoir is critical in order to optimize its development plan. This knowledge depends on a proper description of the spatial distribution of the fluid components. The compositional variations are pivotally because of gravitational segregation and thermo-diffusion phenomena.

7. In the paper calculations and curves, the discussion and results for Compositional Grading experiment have been shown well.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank the Iran SIPC & Xegate of Switzerland in addition to Shahid Bahonar university of Kerman to back up this scientific paper.

DECLARATION	STATEMENT
-------------	-----------

Funding/ Grants/ Financial Support	No. I didn't receive any funding.
Conflicts of Interest/	No conflicts of interest to the best of our
Competing Interests	knowledge.
Ethical Approval and Consent to Participate	No, the article does not require ethical approval and consent to participate with evidence.
Availability of Data and Material/ Data Access Statement	Not relevant.
Authors Contributions	All authors having equal contribution for this article.

REFERENCES

- 1. Alizadeh, N. 'AUT PVTi educational course'. p 44-121. 2015.
- 2. Alizadeh, N.'Schlumberger PVTi software reference manual',p 133.2010.
- 3. Chen J, Ito Y ." Impact of oil viscosity gradient presence on SAGD". J Can Pet Technol 51(2):95–105.2012. https://doi.org/10.2118/137001-PA
- Delamaide Senlac, the forgotten SAGD project. SPE Res Eval Eng 21(3):789–805.2018. <u>https://doi.org/10.2118/183721-PA</u>
- Gates ID, Adams J, Larter S ." The impact of oil viscosity heterogeneity on the production characteristics of tar sand and heavy oil reservoirs". Part II: intelligent, geotailored recovery processes in compositionally graded reservoirs. J Can Pet Technol 47(9):40– 49.2008. <u>https://doi.org/10.2118/08-09-40</u>
- Ghasemi M, Whitson." Compositional variation in SAGD". Presented at the SPE annual technical conference and exhibition, Houston, Texas, USA, 28–30 September.2015. <u>https://doi.org/10.2118/175022-MS</u>
- 7. PEDEC oil and gas engineering reports data. 2011.
- 8. Schlumberger oil and gas engineering experimental data, 2018.
- B. John, U. S. Thomas, and B. Kanimozhi, "Gas, Fire & amp; Intruder Detection by an Unmanned Robot using IoT," International Journal of Innovative Technology and Exploring Engineering, vol. 11, no. 6., pp. 40–44, May 30, 2022. doi: 10.35940/ijitee.f9918.0511622.
- https://doi.org/10.35940/ijitee.F9918.0511622
- M. L. R. C. Lahari et al., "Effect of Temperature and Nanoparticle Concentration on the Viscosity of Glycerine-water based SiO2 Nanofluids," International Journal of Recent Technology and Engineering (IJRTE), vol. 10, no. 4., pp. 111–116, Nov. 30, 2021. doi: 10.35940/ijrte.c6418.1110421. https://doi.org/10.35940/ijrte.C6418.1110421

Published By: Lattice Science Publication (LSP) © Copyright: All rights reserved.



Compositional Grading and Reservoir oil Composition Variation with Depth Experiment by PVTi Software with Lab Data

AUTHOR PROFILES



Dr. Mehrdad Alemi, Goal oriented engineer, with about 3 years of industrial work experience within the petroleum engineering industry. Member of SPE since 2007, and EAGE since 2006, as well as The Tehran University Faculty of Engineering Graduated Students Association since 2007. Fluent in Persian, English. Amirkabir university of technology, tehran- iran

(09/2009 to 08/2015)

Phd in petroleum reservoir engineering

Shahid bahonar university of kerman-iran (09/2007 to 06/2009)

✓ Msc. In petroleum production and drilling engineering University of tehran-iran (09/2003 to 06/2007)

Mining Engineering- Bachelor's Degree

- Teacher Assistant of Multiphase Fluid Flow in Pipes and Well
- Teacher of PERFORM software used in well completion optimization
- Teaching Analytical & Numerical analysis of well testing with both Saphir &Weltest200 software.

The design of a unique software for the Optimum Artificial Lift System Selection for oil wells based on Mutli Critera Decision Making methods and Industrializing my MSc. Research project in PEDEC under the supervision of Iran Petroleum Ministry.

SOFTWARE SKILLS:

General:

A) Office, Visual Basic.net Programming (VB.net).

Professional: B) Saphir,Weltest200, E500, E300, E100, FrontSim, PVTi, VFPi, Office, Floviz,

PERFORM, Prosper. Petrel.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of the Lattice Science Publication (LSP)/ journal and/ or the editor(s). The Lattice Science Publication (LSP)/ journal and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.



Retrieval Number: 100.1/ijpe.B1916113223 DOI:10.54105/ijpe.B1916.113223 Journal Website: www.ijpe.latticescipub.com